Preparation and characterization of $LiMn_2O_4$ and $LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O_4$ as cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries by low heating solid state coordination method

YUDAI HUANG, JUAN LI, DIANZENG JIA*

Institute of Applied Chemistry, Xinjiang University, Urumqi 830046, Xinjiang, People's Republic of China

E-mail: jdz@xju.edu.cn

Published online: 15 May 2006

Spinel lithium manganese oxide $LiMn_2O_4$ and its substituted forms $LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O_4$ were prepared by annealing of the mixed precursors which were synthesized by low heating solid state coordination method using lithium acetate, cobalt acetate, manganese acetate and oxalic acid as starting materials. The structures and morphologies of the $LiMn_2O_4$ and $LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O_4$ were investigated as a function of annealing temperature. The results show that all samples in different annealing temperature have the same spinel structure. The higher the annealing temperature, the more complete the crystal structure, and the larger the particle size. In addition, the $LiMn_2O_4$ and $LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O_4$ were used as cathode active materials for lithium secondary batteries and their charge/discharge properties have been investigated. As a result, it could be seen that low heating solid state coordination method is an effective method to prepare lithium manganese oxide ($LiMn_2O_4$) and its substituted forms. © 2006 Springer Science + Business Media, Inc.

1. Introduction

The technological advancement in the area of electronics and the onset of electric vehicles necessitate low cost, environment friendly and high energy density lithiumion batteries. As a part of these requirements, lithium manganese oxide ($LiMn_2O_4$) is considered to be more attractive cathode material for lithium-ion batteries than the competitors such as lithium cobalt or lithium nickel oxides, because of low cost, and acceptable environmental characteristics [1-5]. Unfortunately, LiMn₂O₄ can exhibit significant capacity fading during charge/discharge cycle [6-8]. The reason for capacity fading is supposed to be linked to some possible factors, such as: (i) the fracture of structure due to repeated cycle; (ii) decomposition of the electrolyte at high-voltage region; (iii) the dissolution of Mn^{3+} ions into the electrolyte [1]. Many researchers have paid much attention on how to suppress the capacity loss of $LiMn_2O_4$ during cycling [1, 7, 9]. It has been reported that the substituted manganese spinel compounds $LiM_vMn_{2-v}O_4$ (M = Co, Ni, Cr, and Al) have

been prepared by solid state reaction and improved in cycle performance significantly comparing with that of parent LiMn₂O₄ [10, 11]. The good cycle performance has been explained by the relatively stronger metal-oxygen bonding in the substituted spinel than that in LiMn₂O₄ [10–12].

It should be noted that the lithium manganese oxide is usually made by solid state reactions, which involves the mechanical mixing of oxides and/or carbonates. These reactions usually require a long firing time and several grinding during the heating process, and moreover, it is difficult to control the particle size of the product. In recent years, there has been considerable interest in producing materials with various powder morphology, bulk density and stoichiometry by the liquid-phase reaction [13, 14]. It is well known that the sol-gel technique has been widely used for a homogeneous process. However, sol-gel method for preparing is also not an economical one because it involves long heating time and complex synthesis process to get the final powders.

^{*}Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed.

^{0022-2461 © 2006} Springer Science + Business Media, Inc. DOI: 10.1007/s10853-006-6688-3

It has been confirmed that low heating solid state coordination method is a simple and effective method to fabricate a number of chemical compound. Cluster compounds, coordination compounds and solid-coordination compounds etc., have been synthesized by this method in the past years [15–17]. Especially, oxides and sulfides nanoparticles were firstly synthesized by our laboratory [18, 19]. In this work, LiMn₂O₄ and its substituted forms spinel LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ have been prepared by a simply annealing the mixed precursors which were synthesized by low heating solid state coordination method. The particle characteristics, such as crystallite size, microstructure and particle size etc., and electrochemical properties of these compounds have been also investigated.

2. Experimental

2.1. Sample preparation

LiMn₂O₄ and LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ powders were prepared by using LiAc \cdot H₂O, Mn(Ac)₂ \cdot 4H₂O, Co(Ac)₂ \cdot 4H₂O and H₂C₂O₄ \cdot 2H₂O as starting materials. Metal acetate was measured with atomic ratio (Li: Mn = 1: 2 or Li: Co: Mn = 1: 0.16: 1.84) and mixed in an agate mortar. Before mixing, each of the starting materials was ground into powders, respectively. Grinding the mixture in an agate mortar with a pestle for 1.5 h in order to make it fully react and get the best possible homogeneity. Mixed precursor was obtained. Putting the mixed precursor into an oven at 90°C for 4 h to dry it. LiMn₂O₄ and LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ powders were obtained by annealing the precursor for 6 h at different temperatures in air in a muffle furnace, respectively, and then quenched to room temperature.

2.2. Characterization

The thermal decomposition behavior of the mixed precursor was examined by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermal analysis (DTA)(TGA-DTA, STA499, NETZSCH, Germany) at a heating rate of 10° C/min. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, MXP18AHF, MAC, Japan) using CuK α radiation was used to identify the crystalline phase of the resulting materials. The grain size and morphology of the products were observed using an accelerating voltage of 100 kV transmission electron microscope (TEM, H-600, HITACHI, Japan).

2.3. Fabrication and electrochemical characterization

The electrochemical properties of the prepared powders were performed in cell matrix. For preparation of the cathode, the $LiMn_2O_4$ or $LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O_4$ powders were well mixed with 5% polyvinylidene fluoride and 10% acetylene black in N-methylpyrrolidene to form a slurry. Then the slurry was brush-coated on an aluminum foil substrate, which was used as the current collector and dried in a vacuum oven maintained at $120^{\circ}C$ for 4 h. The test cell was made of a cathode and a lithium metal anode

separated by a porous polypropylene film (Celgard 2400). The electrolyte used was a mixture of 1 M LiPF₆-ethylene carbonate (EC)/dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1:1 by volume). All the assembling of the cell matrix was carried out in an argon–filled glove box. The charge/discharge cycle was performed on a battery test instrument (CT2001A, KINGNUO, China). The cell was charged and discharged between 3.4–4.35 V at C/3 at room temperature.

3. Result and discussion

3.1. Thermal analysis

The TGA and DTA results of the two mixed precursors were displayed in Figs 1 and 2. As seen in these two figures, the weight loss of the mixed precursors terminated at about 450°C. The weight loss between the temperature of 110°C and 160°C is due to the crystal water in the LiMn₂O₄ mixed precursors. But the weigh loss of temperature of the crystal water in the LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ mixed precursors is between 130°C and 190°C, which is higher than that of LiMn₂O₄ mixed precursors. The weight loss in the temperature range of 270–450°C is associated with the decomposition, combustion of the constituents in the two mixed precursors and the transformation of decomposed manganese oxide and cobalt oxide, which corresponds to an exothermic peak in the curve of DTA. The exothermic peak of the LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ mixed precursor is higher



Figure 1 TGA and DTA analyses conducted on LiMn₂O₄ precursor powders obtained by drying the precursor at 90°C for 4 h.



Figure 2 TGA and DTA analyses conducted on $LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O_4$ precursor powders obtained by drying the precursor at 90°C for 4 h.



Figure 3 XRD patterns of LiMn₂O₄ powders annealed at different temperature for 6 h: (a) 450° C; (b) 550° C.

than that of the LiMn₂O₄ mixed precursor, which means the heat of decomposition of the LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ mixed precursor is more than that of the LiMn₂O₄ mixed precursors. The reason of these phenomena may be related to the fact that Co–O bonding is stronger than that of Mn–O.

3.2. Crystal structure analysis

The x-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the LiMn₂O₄ powders annealed at different temperature (450°C and 550°C) are shown in Fig. 3. It can seen from the figure that the changes of diffraction peaks of the powders are not obvious as the annealing temperature increases from 450°C to 550°C, both samples have the same spinel structure. The peaks of the powders are relatively sharp and intense, which indicates that the powders are well crystallized. The higher the annealing temperature, the more complete the crystal structure. Fig. 4 shows the XRD patterns of the LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ powders annealed at different temperatures (450°C and 550°C). It can seen from these patterns that the variations of the peaks are similar to those of Fig. 3. In this figure there is no any characteristic diffraction peak of Co, which shows that Co exists besides the characteristic diffraction peaks of LiMn₂O₄. But the characteristic diffraction peaks of LiMn₂O₄ in Fig. 4 are much more intense and smoother than that in Fig. 3, which may means that the role of Co is to substitute Mn



Figure 4 XRD patterns of LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ powders annealed at different temperature for 6 h: (a) 450° C; (b) 550° C.



Figure 5 TEM micrographs of LiMn₂O₄ powders at different annealed temperature: (a) 450° C; (b) 550° C.



Figure 6 TEM micrographs of LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ powders at different annealed temperature: (a) 450° C; (b) 550° C.

in the spinel $LiMn_2O_4$ skeleton to make the spinel more complete and stable. All the results show that the powders obtained are spinel structures.

3.3. Morphology of the particles

The transmission electron micrographs of LiMn₂O₄ powders are displayed in Fig. 5. As seen from these micrographs, the grains of these powders are all round, and their diameters change from 10 to tens nanometers. But many round grains constitute solid rod-like structure. The length of the rods, as shown in Fig. 5, can be up to several micrometers and their diameters are around 200 nm. The grain become more dispersed as the annealing temperature increases from 450°C to 550°C. Fig. 6 is the transmission electron micrographs of LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ powders. As shown in Fig. 6, the variation of the grains of the powders is different from that of Fig. 5, the sizes of the particles is bigger and more dispersed than that of Fig. 5 and the grains of these powders are all irregular round and are apt to reunite to agglomerate. The grain becomes also more dispersed as the annealing temperature increases from 450°C to 550°C. All the powders in both Figs 5 and 6 are nanostructure.



Figure 7 First charge/discharge curves of $LiMn_2O_4$ powders annealed at different temperature for 6 h: (a) 450°C; (b) 550°C.

3.4. Electrochemical properties

The first charge/discharge specific capacity of LiMn₂O₄ powders annealed at different temperature are shown in Fig. 7. The figure shows that the charge specific capacity increases from 125.4 to 131.3 mAh/g and the discharge specific capacity increases from 115.3 to 124.4 mAh/g as the temperature increases from 450°C to 550°C. Fig. 8 is the first charge/discharge specific capacity of LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ powders annealed at different temperature. As shown in Fig. 8, the variation of the first charge/discharge specific capacity of LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ powders is similar to that of Fig. 7, and the temperature of 550°C may be a more suitable annealing temperature for these powders. The initial discharge capacity of the batteries decreased by substituting a part of manganese with cobalt. The initial discharge capacity of Li/LiMn₂O₄ cell obtained in this work (annealing at 550°C) was 124.4 mAh/g (theoretical capacity of $LiMn_2O_4$ is 148 mAh/g). By substituting with Co, the initial discharge capacity (annealing at 550°C) decreased to 115.2 mAh/g. This is due to the decrease of Mn^{3+} amount in substituted LiMn₂O₄ since only the amount of the Mn³⁺ contributes to the charge/discharge capacity. It can be obviously seen that the discharge curves of LiMn₂O₄ powders had two voltage plateaus at approximately 4.05 V and 4.10 V, which is a remarkable characteristic of a well-defined LiMn₂O₄ spinel. While the discharge curves of LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ powders had two



Figure 8 First charge/discharge curves of $LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O_4$ powders annealed at different temperature for 6 h: (a) 450°C; (b) 550°C.

Figure 9 Cycle performance of $LiMn_2O_4$ and $LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O_4$ as cathode materials for Li-ion batteries.

voltage plateaus ranging at approximately 4.05-4.08 V and 3.90-3.95 V, and the two voltage plateaus are not as clear as that of LiMn₂O₄. From charge/discharge curves, it is found that lithium ion was reversibly lithiated/delithiated through LiMn₂O₄ spinel framework. Fig. 9 shows the cycle performance of the LiMn₂O₄ and LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ annealing at 550°C as cathode materials for Li-ion batteries, respectively. Comparing with the poor cycle performance occurred with LiMn₂O₄ cathode, the substituted spinel exhibited a remarkable improvement of cycle behavior. After 30 times charge/discharge cycles, the capacity loss of LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ sample was only 5.5%, but the capacity loss of LiMn₂O₄ sample increased up to 28%. This suggests that the substituted spinel LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ show a good cycle life.

4. Conclusion

LiMn₂O₄ and its substituted forms spinel LiCo_{0.16} $Mn_{1.84}O_4$ have been prepared by simply annealing the mixed precursors which were synthesized by low heating solid state coordination method. All of the as-prepared powders were identified as a single spinel phase. The powders had a spherical morphology and became more and more agglomerated as the annealing temperature increased. The first discharge capacity of LiMn₂O₄ annealed at 550°C was up to 124.4 mAh/g though its cycle performance was poor. The substituted spinel LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ showed better cycle life than that of LiMn₂O₄, the discharge capacity loss of LiCo_{0.16}Mn_{1.84}O₄ was only 5.5% after 30 cycles. Thus, it can be seen that low heating solid state coordination method is an effective method to prepare lithium manganese oxide LiMn₂O₄ and its substituted forms.

Acknowledgements

This work has been partially supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grant No.20161003) and the Starting Foundation for Younger Teacher's Scientific Research of Xinjiang University (Grant No.QN040106)

References

- 1. J. M. TARASCON, E. WANG, F. K. SHOKOOHI, W. R. MCK-INNON and S. COLSON, J. Electrochem. Soc. **138** (1991) 2859.
- 2. T. OHZUKU, M. KITAGAWA and T. HIRAI, J. Electrochem. Soc. 137 (1990) 769.
- 3. J. M. TARASCON and D. GUYOMARD, *Electrochim. Acta* 38 (1993) 1221.
- 4. G. PISTOIA, G. WANG and C. WANG, Solid State Ionics 58 (1992) 285.
- 5. H. HUANG and P. G. BRUCE, J. Power Sources 54 (1995) 52.
- 6. J. M. TARASCON, W. R. MCKINNON, F. COOWAR, T. N. BOWMER, G. AMATUCCI and D. GUYOMARD, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **141** (1994) 1421.
- 7. R. J. GOMMOW, A. DE KOCK and M. M. THACKERAY, Solid State Ionics 69 (1994) 59.
- 8. Y. XIA, H. NOGUCHI and M. YOSHIO, J. Solid State Chem. 119 (1995) 216.
- 9. R. BITTIHN, R. HERR and D. HOGE, J. Power Sources **43** 44 (1993) 223.
- 10. L. GUOHUA, H. IKUTA, T. UCHIDA and M. WAKIHARA, J. Electrochem. Soc. 143 (1996) 178.

- 11. D. SONG, H. IKUTA, T. UCHIDA and M. WAKIHARA, Solid State Ionics 117 (1999) 151.
- M. WAKIHARA, G. LI, H. IKUTA, in Lithium Ion Batteries, M. WAKIHARA, O. YAMAMOTO (eds.), (Kodansha, Tokyo 1998) p. 26.
- 13. X. QIU, X. SUN, W. SHEN, N. CHEN, Solid State Ionics 93 (1997) 335.
- 14. H. HUANG and P. G. BRUCE, J. Electrochem. Soc. 141 (1994) L106.
- 15. X. Q. XIN and L. M. ZHENG, *ibid.* **106** (1993) 451.
- 16. J. P. LANG, X. Q. XIN, J. Solid State Chem. 108 (1994) 118.
- 17. X. B. YAO, L. M. ZHENG and X. Q. XIN, J. Solid State Chem. 117 (1995) 333.
- 18. D. Z. JIA, J. Q. YU and X. XIA, Chinese Sci. Bull. 43 (1998) 571.
- 19. X. R. YE, D. Z. JIA, J. Q. YU, X. Q. XIN and Z. L. XUE, *Adv. Mater.* **11** (1999) 941.

Received 9 June and accepted 24 August 2005